

G R S App F IX 4]

Previous References

1942-43

(Municipal)

File No 52-42

Serial Nos 3, 4, 5

Later References

## GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 3

Part B for July 43

### SUBJECT

Re Sanction of appt of two peons  
on Rs 8/- p m each made by  
the Sempapattam mltly for 40-41, 41-42, etc

G.O. no 2 339-40 dt 9/7/45

To Mhe to C, Mandya

2) The Comptroller

Sanctions the proposal

HSC  
15/7

From the J. C. Khandya St  
 No. AS 1768/42-43 dt 13-3-43  
 Request sanction for the appointment of 2 Pems  
 m/s 8/- P m made by the Serinapatam H's  
 for the years 40-41 and 41-42 etc,  
 Sd/- The Serinapatam H's is stated to have  
 been removing the rubbish a man by name -  
 (name) two bullock carts and the two tempo-  
 rary appointments of Pems at Rs 8/- P m  
 made for the purpose of driving the carts  
 2) Driving the carts is not a whole time  
 work for the Pems. Moreover the H's shd  
 be spending a good sum for the maintenance  
 of the cart and the bullocks apart from the  
 capital investment made in the same  
 In other H's where the rubbish is removed  
 on contract, a sum of Rs 20/- per cart  
 is being paid P m. Adopting the same pro-  
 cedure in the Serinapatam H's shd  
 perhaps be more economical to the H's  
 and less of less worry. Information  
 may perhaps be called for regarding the  
 actual expenditure incurred P m. on  
 each cart including pay of the pem  
 and the opinion of the H's also  
 obtained regarding the alternate proposal  
 for orders.  
 19/3 3) yes S/H  
 WD 0972-GPB-10 000 -21-10-42

6) Draft letter sent to Mr. A. L.

25/3/43

of some form 28/3/43

to the D.C. Maudsley  
Letters L 9602/8 Sep. 3 43, Call for certain  
information

from the D.C. Maudsley  
Letters L 1768/42-3 & 26 1943 furnish  
the information

6) It is reported that the Present system  
in vogue at the Municipality is ~~more~~ <sup>more</sup> advan-  
geous ~~than~~ the alternative proposal suggested  
in Para 2 above, for reasons set forth  
in the p. 4. & from the statements, it  
could be seen that the realization  
being the scale of the measure of the  
bullock is considerably more than the  
expenditure incurred for maintaining the  
same. The D.C. therefore recommends  
that the appointments of the two teams in  
B 81- each p. 14 during 1940-41, 41-42 and  
their continuance during 1942-43 and 1943-4  
may be sanctioned this is for now

Subd.  
11/6 12/6

The D.C. letter explains in  
detail the cases and when for those  
who employed to be in charge of the  
work in farm population in 1941 for  
the year 1940-41 - the recommendation

right  
16  
24/3/43

T

A




And objection for the appointment of Mr. temporary  
 Mr. S. S. Srinivasan 7429

Continuation Sheet

File No  
 Current No

of

Page



at it may be approved  
 L.S.G. & P.H.

8) The recommendation of  
 the D.C. may be sanctioned

1/10/43

13/8/43

1/10/43

28/6/43

26/6

10) Draft for

1/10/43

1/10/43 - G.O. memo.  
 1/10/43

1/10/43

1/10/43

G.O. No. 229-40/3 97 1943, Sanction

the Department

Dr. K. S. Srinivasan (A.C.)

Comptroller to Govt

1/10/43

Continuation Sheet.

File No  
Current No.

~~11-19-11~~  
~~11-19-11~~

12 2 21  
8 25 01

No A5 1768/42-43

Encls 5 ?

From

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,  
Mandya District,  
Mandya, Dated, the 13th March 1943

Mir Safdar Hussain, Esq , B A ,  
Deputy Commissioner,  
Mandya District, Mandya

C Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,  
Secretary to Government,  
Law Department, Bangalore

Sir,

subject      Audit objection for the appointment of 2 temporary  
sweepers in Seringapatam Municipality for the years  
40-41 and 41-42

In forwarding herewith copies of reports No HC 59/42-43 dated 30-11-42 and 16-2-43 together with its enclosures on the above subject received from the President, Seringapatam Town Municipality, I ~~xxx~~ have the honor to state that the Comptroller during the audit of the accounts of Seringapatam Town Municipality for the year 40-41 held under objection the expenditure incurred in connection with the appointment of 2 sweepers for ~~driving the conservancy carts~~ driving the conservancy carts maintained by the Municipality. On receipt of this reference from the President, a report was called for from him as to the authority under which the appointments were made and whether provision had been made in the budget to meet the expenditure. The President in his report dated 16-2-43 states that as the sanction of the Deputy Commissioner had been obtained for maintenance of 2 conservancy carts, two peons were employed for driving the cart as no surplus could be spared out of the permanent staff. The expenditure towards the pay of the ~~xx~~ cart drivers was met out of the savings available under conservancy establishment for the years 40-41 and 41-42.

2      In this connection, I wish to state that the Municipal Council decided to remove the ~~x~~ rubbish amani by maintaining two carts ~~2~~ 2 pairs of bullocks. Necessary provision had been made and sanctioned in the budget, for the purchase of cart and bullocks and after obtaining sanction of the Deputy Commissioner for the purchase of carts and bullocks and for removing the rubbish amani, the Municipal Council is maintaining the carts. But no separate sanction of this office was obtained to the appointment of the two peons for maintenance of bullocks and driving carts even for one year at the outset. Hence the audit objection.

3      The President was also requested to fix a definite period for the continuance of the temporary establishment. In reply ~~xx~~ he has stated that no definite period could be fixed as the Municipal Council has to maintain 2 temporary peons so long as they maintain two carts for removing the rubbish. He requests that sanction of Government ~~may~~ be obtained to the appointment of the 2 peons during 40-41- and 41-42.

4      In the circumstances stated above, I request ~~that~~ you kindly to obtain sanction of Government to the appointment of 2 peons on Rs 8/- each P.M. during 40-41- and 41-42 and to continue the temporary establish-

59  
establishment during the years 42-43 and 43-44.

I have the honor to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,

*H. S. Kulkarni*  
Deputy Commissioner, (abandon him)  
Mandya District.

ALN

(k)

Report No H C 59/42 dated 16-2-43 from the President, Seringapatam Municipality, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District

Reference -

Memo No 45 G1 16985/42-43 dated 11-12-42 from the Deputy Commissioner calling for certain particulars regarding the employment of two ~~xxx~~ sweepers under Temporary establishment

With reference to the above, begs to ~~xxxxxx~~ submit that sanction from the Deputy Commissioner, towards the maintenance of two conservancy carts was obtained, and as the employment of two ~~xxxxx~~ peons was necessary to look after the bullocks and to drive the carts during the working hours, the staff of two servants on a pay of Rs 8/- per month (each) was engaged on the same authority. The cost on this account was being met out of the savings that was available under the item of conservancy establishment ~~xxxx~~ during the years 1940-41, 1941-42. The period required for the employment of the staff cannot be definitely stated as the same has to run for ~~for~~ and such period for which the municipality is prepared to maintain the carts by Amani

---

For Deputy Commissioner,  
Mandya District

*[Signature]*

P T O

7)  
Report No H C 59/42 dated 30-11-42 from the President, Town  
Municipal Council, Seringapatam to the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya.

---

Reference Observation No 1 Scale ~~Register and~~ Register and  
Acquittance Roll c Sanction of Government to be obtained in the  
matter of employing two sweepers under Temporary conservancy establish-  
ment at Rs 8/- each per mensem from 2-7-40, for the reason that the  
period has exceeded one year etc , raised in the Audit note of 1940-41

With reference to the above, I beg to state that the employment of  
these two sweepers was necessary to look after the conservancy carts and  
bullocks maintained by the Municipality, as no sweepers could be spared  
out of the existing staff for this purpose - This fact was placed  
before the ~~Municipal Council~~ Municipal Council and they have resolved in their  
meeting held on 30-11-1942 to request the Government for their kind  
sanction in this behalf The extract from the resolutions passed is  
herewith enclosed for kind perusal

I therefore request you to make a recommendation to Government for  
the grant of permission to employ this temporary staff for such period as  
the Municipality is prepared to maintain the conservancy carts by Amani

---

" C O P Y "

FOR DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,  
MANDYA DISTRICT



P T O

Extract of the Inspection Notes of Asst. Comptroller, Local Audit Circle  
Mysore - ~~has been~~ inspected on 24<sup>th</sup> + 25<sup>th</sup> January, 1942

(8)


X X X X X X X X X X X X

I (c) Scale Register and Acquittance Roll

Temporary Conservancy Establishment of two Sweepers,  
each at Rs 8/- per mensem has been employed from 2-7-40. Sanction  
of Government for this arrangement is necessary as no higher sanction  
has been obtained and the period has exceeded one year

X X X X X X X X X X X X

"Extract"

  
President

 2/12/42

Sd/- J Venkatarangan  
Assistant-Comptroller  
Mysore Local Audit Circle



Extract of the Audit Note of Seringapatam Town Municipality  
for 1940-41

x x x x x x x x x x x

14 Temporary Conservancy Establishment

Two temporary hands were entertained with effect from 2-7-40 and their services are being still continued without the sanction of competent authority. The entertainment and continuance of this unauthorised establishment is irregular. Sanction of Government may be obtained early, or the amount so far paid in this behalf will have to be arranged to be made good.

x x x x x x x x x

"Extract"

M. S. Srinivasan  
President  
M. S. Srinivasan

Sd/- M. Narayana Rao  
Assistant Comptroller,  
Mysore Local Audit Circle







SUBJECT

*Audit objection to the appointment of 2 temporary  
Sweepers in Temengghu Municipalities for labour turnover*

Diary No of letter replied to

Section

M

File No

32-42

Serial No

4

No

29602

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the

194

*The D.C. Mandzandor  
Mandza.*

Date of issue

24/3

Sir,

Signed by

*[Signature]*

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

With ref to your letter  
no. 1768/42.38 13.3 1943, with  
subject above, I am directed to request you  
kindly to state the actual <sup>monthly</sup> expenditure  
incurred by the Municipality  
towards the maintenance of the two  
carts for the removal of rubbish, inclu-  
ding the pay of the persons <sup>to observe</sup> employed  
I am ~~for the~~ to state that in  
the Municipality the rubbish is  
removed in <sup>bags and</sup> ~~contact~~ <sup>a</sup> ~~sum of Rs. 100/-~~  
only per cart is paid <sup>by month</sup> ~~and~~ to enquire

H)

why a Hunter ~~proceeds~~ <sup>may</sup> ~~be~~ <sup>by</sup> ~~any~~ <sup>advised</sup>  
as the Municipality also by leaving out the original  
collect the rubbish in the Town every year, as it ~~can~~  
be more economical to do so

I have etc

Yours  
to Law Esq.  
etc

ml  
No A5 1768/42-43  
Encl one

7429(12)  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner,  
Mandya District,  
Mandya, Dated, the 2nd June, 1943

From

Mr Safdar Hussain, Esq , B A ,  
Deputy Commissioner, Mandya

To

C Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,  
Secretary to Government, Law Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Subject Audit objection for the appointment of 2 temporary  
sweepers in Seringapatam Municipality for 41-42 &  
42-43

T With reference to your letter No L 9602/M1 32-42-4 dated  
24-3-43 calling for further particulars on the above subject,  
I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the  
expenditure incurred by the Municipal council for the maintenance of the carts for 40-41, 41-42 and 42-43 for favour of information

The President, reports that on an average a sum of Rs 50/- p m including the dearness allowance given to the two sweepers is incurred on account of the maintenance of these carts. He further states that on account of the maintenance of these carts, the Municipality earning on an average ~~Rs~~ an income of Rs 500/- per annum by sale of manure. In addition to the carting of the rubbish, the carts are being used for removal of the debris collected in drains and for carting gravel etc for road repairs. If carts are engaged on monthly contract the contractor removes only street rubbish twice a day and the Municipality has to engage separate carts or give the repairs of the ~~roads~~ roads to a contractor. Now on account of maintaining carts of their own, the Municipal Council is getting the roads repaired with less cost.

In this connection I wish to state that in Mandya Municipality 2 carts are engaged at the rate of Rs 30/- p m each for removal of street rubbish only. These carts attend to the removal of rubbish at regular hours and the Municipal Council cannot engage the carts for any other purpose.

As stated by the President, Seringapatam, I am of opinion that it is more advantageous to maintain the carts rather than engage carts on contract basis. The procedure of engaging carts on contract basis was in vogue and finding that the maintenance of the carts by the Municipality being more advantageous, the system was continued during 41-42 and 42-43.

In the circumstances, I request you kindly to obtain sanction

13)  
sanction of Government for the appointment of 2 sweepers  
as already recommended in my letter dated 13-3-43

I have the honor to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,

ALN

*his department*  
Deputy Commissioner,  
Mandya District,

ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಖರ್ಚಾದ ಮೊತ್ತವು 2 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಂದ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನದಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ  
 ಈ ಮೊತ್ತವು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಬಡವರ ಸೇವಾ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಖರ್ಚಾದದ್ದು.

| No. | Year    | Expenditure<br>in Rupees | Amount realised<br>by auctioning the<br>manure - | Remarks -                   |
|-----|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1   | 1940-41 | 328-5-7                  | 362-0-0                                          |                             |
| 2   | 1941-42 | 313-1-3                  | 378-0-0                                          |                             |
| 3   | 1942-43 | 251-8-0                  | 593-12-0                                         | up to the end of April 1943 |

*M. S. Srinivasulu*  
 President  
 2-5-43



PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS  
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

15


Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No. AB.1768/42-43 dated 2-6-1943, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, requesting, in the circumstances stated, sanction to the employment of two persons on Rs 3/- each p.m to be in charge of Conservancy Carts in the Seringapatam Town Municipality during the years 1940-41, 1941-42 and 1942-43 and to the continuance of their services during the year 1943-44.

C.D.D. NO. *L*339-40/ml 32-42-11

Dated, Bangalore, the 9<sup>th</sup> July 1943.

s a n c t i o n e d.

  
For Secretary to Government,  
Law Department.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District, with records.  
" Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

.M.R.3.



SUBJECT

ab

Diary No of letter replied to

Section

File No 32-42

Serial No //

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )  
the 19Proceedings of the Government of  
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue

9/7

19

Read—

Correspondence ending

Signed by

M. G. K. Reddy

May this be—

- (i) printed?
- (ii) treated as a standing order?
- (iii) published in the Gazette  
English Part?
- (iv) translated?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the Press?
- (vi) published in the Gazette  
Kannada Part?

to be in charge of the  
the Sengapatnam 20th  
Order thereon No. 339-40  
During the year 1941-2 and 22nd  
and to the continuation  
during the year 1943-44  
no. 1. 339-40  
Sanctioned

with letter no. AS-1768/42 3-4  
2.6.1943, from the D. C. Mandya  
N. S. regarding the Circular  
No. 1, dated 1.1.43, regarding  
the sanction of the employ-  
ment of two persons under 8/4  
the Sengapatnam 20th  
Order thereon No. 339-40  
During the year 1941-2 and 22nd  
and to the continuation  
during the year 1943-44  
no. 1. 339-40  
Sanctioned

B  
2/1/43

To the D. C. Mandya  
Comptroller to Govt.

For the  
5/6